Chapter 0

Introduction to quantum computation

We give a brief introduction which explains where the ideas of quantum computation come from. Let us begin with classical computation. Suppose that we want to compute a Boolean function

\[ f : (x_1 \ldots x_N) \in \{0,1\}^N \mapsto f(x_1 \ldots x_N) = (x_1' \ldots x_N') \in \{0,1\}^M. \]

A theorem of Emil Post dating back to 1921 states that:

**Theorem 1** Any Boolean function can be computed from a directed acyclic graph where nodes are the logical gates \{AND, OR, NOT, COPY\}. These gates form a universal set (not the smallest possible).

A directed acyclic graph is a circuit that connects these logical gates, does not have loops, and has an input and an output. For example

computes the Boolean function \( f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \overline{x_1 \land x_2} \lor x_3 \), which takes 3 input bits and has one output bit. This theorem is at the basis of the “classical circuit model” of computation. Such circuits are implemented in electronic chips.

In 1960’s Rolf Landauer argued that each time one bit of information is lost (or erased) in a processing device, then a minimum amount \( k_B T \ln 2 \) of heat is dissipated, where \( T \) is the temperature of the environment coupled...
to the processing device. Roughly speaking, when a bit is lost, the change of entropy is \( \ln 2 \), and the laws of thermodynamics tell us that there is an associated heat flux \( \delta Q = k_B T \ln 2 \).

In the circuit model based on Post’s theorem the gates AND, OR dissipate heat because the operations

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 & \quad \text{AND} \quad x_2 \quad x_1 \wedge x_2 \\
    x_1 & \quad \text{OR} \quad x_2 \quad x_1 \vee x_2
\end{align*}
\]

are not reversible (a bit of information is lost). On the other hand, the gate OR is reversible, and can therefore be implemented through a physical process that does not dissipate heat.

In the 70’s and 80’s a number of researches (among them Bennett and Benioff) asked the question: can we compute Boolean functions in a “reversible” manner, that in theory at least, does not involve heat dissipation. The answer is yes!

**Theorem 2** Any Boolean function can be computed in a reversible way using a directed acyclic graph built with the set of universal gates \{NOT, CNOT, CCNOT\}.

The CNOT and CCNOT (Toffoli) gates are

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 & \quad \text{CNOT} \quad x_2 \quad x_1 \oplus x_2 \\
    x_1 & \quad \text{CCNOT} \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \oplus x_1 x_2
\end{align*}
\]

An easy exercise shows that the \{AND, OR, COPY\} gates can be replaced by \{NOT, CNOT, CCNOT\}. This however is at the expense of expanding the memory or the number of input and output bits. This theorem forms the basis of the “classical reversible model of computation”. With perfectly good physical components such circuits do not, in principle, dissipate heat in the computation process. Moreover, one can recover the input from the output.

Since it is possible to compute Boolean functions in a reversible way, and without heat dissipation, it is reasonable to ask whether quantum mechanics would bring some limitation of its own to the computation process. This is all the more relevant in view of the miniaturisation process of circuitry and electronic devices. Today these attain the nanoscale, or even smaller scales, and at such scales quantum behaviors start to matter.
Soon after work by Feynman, Bennett, Wiesner and others, the “quantum circuit model of computation” was put forward. As we will see quantum circuits are natural generalizations of reversible classical circuits. At first, quantum circuit may sound like strange objects. Now states of “quantum bits” are more general than \{0, 1\} but form a vector space \(\mathbb{C}^2\) (as we will see). The inputs of the quantum circuit are “vectors” (quantum state) and the logical operations become “matrices” (unitary operations). The output of the quantum circuit is also a vector. These vectors represent “superpositions” of many multi-bit states, and as a result quantum computation allows to compute Boolean functions by exploring in “parallel” all classical inputs, and may therefore speedup calculations. Sometimes this speedup is even exponential. Thus instead of bringing new limitations, quantum mechanics in fact brings new advantages! Before we continue on this path, we must go through the basic principle of quantum mechanics. This will be done in an axiomatic way in Chap 1, which almost completely reduces the formalism to linear algebra.

Let us give sketchy idea of the kind of problems that quantum computation can solve. Suppose that

\[ f : (x_1 \ldots x_N) \in \{0, 1\}^N \mapsto f(x_1 \ldots x_N) \in \{0, 1\} \]

is a Boolean function that is constant or balanced. Constant means that \(f\) takes only the value 0 for all inputs or only the value 1 for all inputs. Balanced means that \(f\) takes the value 0 for half of the inputs and 1 for the other half. The \textit{Deutsch problem} is to determine if \(f\) is balanced or constant by asking a minimal number of questions to a black box

\[ (x_1 \ldots x_N) \rightarrow f \rightarrow 0 \text{ or } 1 \]

In classical computation we have to ask in the worst case \(2^{N-1} + 1\) questions (think about it!). So the complexity of this problem is exponential. We will see that there exists a quantum algorithm that operates on a quantum circuit, such that one operation suffices! This is at first very surprising. As we will see the quantum algorithm explores at the same time all possible classical \(2^N\) inputs. This is called quantum parallelism.

Another feature of quantum algorithms, besides parallelism, is that they have a random output (but usually a deterministic input). As we will see the output of a quantum circuit has to be read or measured, an operation that involves a random outcome, by virtue of the laws of quantum physics. We will therefore have to bias in some way the probability of success on
the correct outcome. In the Deutsch problem described beforehand this probability of success is strictly 1. But this is a special case.

There are mainly two classes of quantum algorithms that we will study. The first one is a class of algorithms that find a hidden symmetry in a mathematical object. Such algorithms are based on a concept of Quantum Fourier Transform (QFT). The QFT plays the role of a kind of signal processing tool that analyzes the periods or symmetries contained in a quantum state. This is also analogous to diffraction experiments used to determine the atomic arrangement of crystals. As we will see the most famous algorithm of this class is the Shor’s algorithm that factorizes integers and has polynomial complexity. It is not known if there exists a classical algorithm with polynomial complexity. All known classical algorithms have super-polynomial (almost exponential) complexity. So typically for factorization quantum computation offers exponential speed-up.

The second class of algorithms are search algorithms in unsorted databases. The prominent representative here is the Grover’s algorithm which offers quadratic speed-up (not exponential) with respect to classical methods.

Finally, if time permits we will study quantum error correcting codes, the analog of classical parity check codes.